

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,264	04/22/2004	Toshiaki Akahoshi	040185	6446
23850 75	590 05/05/2006		EXAM	INER
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			FIORITO, JAMES	
1725 K STREE	T, NW			
SUITE 1000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		1754	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/829,264	AKAHOSHI, TOSHIAKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James A. Fiorito	1754
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may but will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	April 2004.	
,	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 April 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cornection 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj he drawing(s) be held in abey ection is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light section.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 recites "adding a water-soluble alcohol to the separated solution to separate and recover the deposited ammonium orthomolybdate from a second solution for separation", it appears that the claim should recite "adding a water-soluble alcohol to the separated solution to deposit and recover the ammonium orthomolybdate from a second solution for separation". Also, claim one recites, "distilling the second solution for separation to separate and recover the water-soluble alcohol and a residue solution", it appears the claim should recite "distilling the second solution to separate and recover the water-soluble alcohol and a residue solution". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,264

Art Unit: 1754

Claims 1-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the system" in the last line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hubred '495 in view of Veal '072 and Nalewajek '184.

Hubred discloses a process for separating and recovering valuable metals, comprising the steps of: leaching a raw material containing at least vanadium oxides and molybdenum oxides with ammonia-containing leaching water to obtain a leached solution containing a vanadium compound and a molybdenum compound wherein at least a portion of the residue solution is added to the ammonia-containing leaching water as a portion thereof (Column 3-4).

Hubred does not expressly state adding ammonium orthomolybdate to the leached solution to separate and recover the deposited ammonium metavanadate from a first solution for separation.

Veal discloses a process with a step of adding ammonium orthomolybdate to separate and recover deposited ammonium metavanadate from an aqueous solution containing ammonium orthomolybdate and ammonium metavanadate (Column 6).

Hubred and Veal are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely recovering metal from spent catalyst.

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to a person ordinary skill in the art to substitute the vanadium precipitation step of Hubred with a step of adding ammonium orthomolybdate to the leached solution to separate and recover the deposited ammonium metavanadate from a first solution for separation of the vanadium in view of the teaching of Veal. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to selectively precipitate the ammonium metavanadate (Column 6).

Hubred in view of Veal does not discloses the step of adding a water-soluble alcohol to the separated solution to separate and recover the deposited ammonium orthomolybdate from a second solution for separation, and distilling the second solution to separate and recover the water-soluble alcohol and a residue solution, and the water-soluble alcohol is returned to the system for reusing.

Nalewajek discloses a process with a step of adding a water-soluble alcohol to a solution to separate and recover ammonium orthomolybdate, and distilling the solution to separate and recover the water-soluble alcohol, and the water-soluble alcohol is returned to the system for reusing (Column 3-4). Hubred, Veal and Nalewajek are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor namely, processes of precipitating molybdate.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,264 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to a person ordinary skill in the art to substitute the molybdate precipitation step of Hubred in view of Veal with, adding a water-soluble alcohol to the separated solution to separate and recover the deposited ammonium orthomolybdate from a second solution for separation, and distilling the second solution to separate and recover the water-soluble alcohol and a residue solution, and the water-soluble alcohol is returned to the system for reusing in view of the teaching of Veal. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to selectively precipitate the ammonium orthomolybdate (Column 4).

It would have been obvious to return to the system a portion of the recovered ammonium orthomolybdate for reusing, since it is required by the ammonium vanadate step of Hubred in view of Veal.

With respect to Claim 2, Hubred discloses that the raw material is obtained by roasting a spent catalyst (Abstract).

With respect to Claim 3, Nalewajek discloses that the water soluble alcohol is ethanol (Column 4).

With respect to Claim 4, Hubred discloses that the ammonia used in the leaching step is distilled and recycled.

With respect to Claims 5-8, it appears that the limitations overlap with Hubred in view of Veal and Nalewajek.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1754

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lyaudet '109 discloses a process for the separate recovery of molybdenum and vanadium.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Fiorito whose telephone number is (571)272-7426. The examiner can normally be reached on Standard.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Fiorito

Patent Examiner

AU 1754

Steven Bos

Primary Patent Examiner

Page 6

Au 1754